Building Blocks

updates and information on structural materials



rebar cages (two mats of rebar) added at jamb strips. Courtesy of Lithko Contracting, Inc.

High-Strength Welded Wire Reinforcement in Tilt-Up Construction

By Jason Blankenship, P.E.

Jason Blankenship, P.E. (jblankenship@needhamassoc. com), is a structural engineer with Needham & Associates, Inc. in Lenexa, Kansas, a consulting engineering firm that is heavily involved in the tilt-up design industry.



For more information on WWR applications, visit the Wire Reinforcement Institute website (<u>www.wirereinforcementinstitute.org</u>). A number of publications, design guides, and design tools are available. welded wire reinforcement (WWR) mats offer a viable alternative to traditional tied rebar mats in tilt-up concrete panels. Using WWR can result in an overall decrease in reinforcing steel tonnage for the project, and allows much faster placement. Both of these factors can improve the project schedule and budget. However, there are critical differences in the panel design that must be considered.

igh-strength

WWR is manufactured using stock coils of colddrawn steel wire, either smooth or deformed, which is then fabricated into sheets capable of being shipped. Individual transverse wires are dropped in place along the length of the continuous longitudinal wires and welded at each crossing. The process is highly automated, resulting in accurate and predictable spacing of wires, which can be customized as needed for individual project requirements. After fabrication, sheets can be bent into nearly any shape, including tied column cages. These are frequently required in tilt-up construction for narrow strips adjacent to openings where placement of traditional rebar is especially difficult and time-consuming.

WWR is available in several grades of steel, as covered under ATSM A1064 (smooth $f_{Y, MIN}$ = 65 ksi, deformed $f_{Y, MIN}$ = 70 ksi). Deformed wire with f_Y = 80 ksi is commonly specified and readily available. In some cases, the use of higherstrength reinforcement can reduce the overall area of steel required, resulting in greater efficiency and decreased quantities.

There are two primary issues with high-strength WWR substitution that impact the panel design. First, with higher reinforcing steel strength comes proportionally higher strain in the concrete. For heavily reinforced panels, this can result in a section that is not tension-controlled, violating one of the primary criteria of tilt-up panel design under ACI slender wall provisions. It is therefore critical to reduce the area of steel to maintain the same strain relationship within the design section. Using this reduction is common when a contractor or rebar supplier proposes WWR substitution for rebar, but should always be verified by the engineer prior to approval. Some suppliers may propose a direct one-for-one substitution based on area of steel only. At first glance, this may appear to include "bonus strength," but it is not appropriate for slender wall design.

The second issue pertains to second-order (P- Δ) effects, which have a significant impact on slender wall design. Using a proportional $A_{s}f_{y}$ (rebar) = $A_s f_Y$ (WWR) substitution may resolve issue #1 above, but the lower steel area also reduces the cracked-section moment of inertia for the concrete panel (ACI 318-11, Eq. 14-7). In turn, the deflection ($\Delta_{\rm U}$) due to second-order moments increases, reducing the overall flexural capacity of the panel (Eq. 14-4, 14-5). In such cases, either greater steel area or increased concrete strength is necessary. This effect is especially apparent in panels with a single mat of reinforcement centered in the panel depth. Panels with two reinforcing mats have a greater effective section depth, which typically limits P- Δ effects to a manageable level where a steel area reduction is achievable.

When designing with WWR, it is possible to provide a more accurate quantity of reinforcement than with traditional rebar. In an effort to keep the bar size and spacing simple and practical, greater amounts of steel are frequently provided than truly necessary for the design (e.g., using #5 @ 6 inches instead of #5 @ 7.5 inches). With the greater variety of wire diameters that are readily available, and more accurate spacing control with shop-fabricated WWR sheets, it is possible to design panel reinforcement much closer to the true area of steel necessary (D9 @ 4.5 inches is just as easy as D20 [#4 bar] @ 6 inches). On a large project, this savings in steel tonnage can be significant.

PANEL #1: (Single Mat)

Panel Criteria:

Panel span = 31 feet, 0 inches Thickness = 7¼ inches, single mat centered DL = 500 plf, WL = 20 psf Δ_{MAX} = h/150 = 2.48 inches

Material Properties: $f'_{C} = 4,000 \text{ psi}$ $f_{Y} = 60 \text{ ksi (mild steel rebar)}$ $f_{Y} = 80 \text{ ksi (WWR)}$

Rebar Option:

 $\begin{array}{l} A_{S,VERT}=0.44 \ in^2/ft \ (use \ \#6 \ @ \ 12 \ inches) \\ A_{S,HORIZ}=0.174 \ in^2/ft \ (use \ \#4 \ @ \ 12 \ inches) \\ Steel \ strain=0.0101 \\ M_U=82.6 \ kip-in/ft \\ \phi M_N=83.3 \ kip-in/ft \\ Actual \ steel \ weight=2.17 \ psf \end{array}$

WWR Option:

 $\begin{array}{l} A_{S,VERT}=0.39 \ in^2/ft \ (use \ D20 \ @ \ 6 \ inches) \\ A_{S,HORIZ}=0.174 \ in^2/ft \ (use \ D9 \ @ \ 6 \ inches) \\ Steel \ strain=0.0083 \\ M_U=95.1 \ kip-in/ft \\ \phi M_N=95.4 \ kip-in/ft \\ Actual \ steel \ weight=1.97 \ psf \end{array}$

Designing the section using 80 ksi WWR, the required reinforcement reduces slightly. Note that a direct $A_s f_Y$ substitution for WWR would provide 0.33 in²/ft and an equivalent $\phi M_n = 83.3$ kip-in/ft, but the required moment capacity increases due to the reduced cracked-section moment of inertia. Overall panel steel area decreases slightly due to the additional wire size and spacing options.

For double-mat panels with reinforcement at each face, horizontal bars are often kept at a tighter spacing than what would otherwise be required by code to control shrinkage cracking (18 inches maximum per ACI 318; 15 inches maximum per National Building Code of Canada). Bar sizes are driven somewhat by handling concerns; often #3 bars are avoided. This can result in horizontal steel significantly greater than the minimum specified by ACI. Using WWR, wires with a smaller diameter and closer spacing can provide more uniform crack control using less steel area. Wire sizes need not be limited due to handling concerns since each intersection is welded, providing inherent stability during transportation and construction.

One particularly interesting application of WWR for tilt-up construction is at narrow panel strips adjacent to doors. Manufacturing and warehouse facilities frequently have relatively tall load-bearing panels with narrow jamb strips at dock doors, as illustrated in the *Figure*. These jamb strips frequently require tied column cages, which are cumbersome and time-consuming to fabricate from rebar in the field. In most cases, a WWR cage can achieve the same design intent while incorporating both the vertical reinforcing and ties into a single shop-fabricated assembly. Jamb cages can be dropped straight from the truck



STRUCTURE magazine 25

PANEL #2: (Double Mat)

Panel Criteria:

Same as Panel #1, except reinforced at each face

Rebar Option:

 $\begin{array}{l} A_{S,VERT} = 0.205 \ in^2/ft \ EF \ (use \ \#4 \ @ \\ 12 \ inches \ EF) \\ A_{S,HORIZ} = 0.174 \ in^2/ft \ (use \ \#4 \ @ \\ 18 \ inches \ EF) \\ Steel \ strain = 0.0337 \\ M_U = 62.4 \ kip-in/ft \\ \phi M_N = 62.4 \ kip-in/ft \\ Actual \ steel \ weight = 2.23 \ psf \end{array}$

WWR Option:

 $A_{S,VERT} = 0.17 \text{ in}^2/\text{ft EF}$ (use D12 @ 8 inches EF)

 $\begin{array}{l} A_{S,HORIZ}=0.174 \ in2/ft \ (use \ D6 @ \\ 8 \ inches \ EF) \end{array}$ Steel strain = 0.0314 $M_U=67.5 \ kip-in/ft \\ \phi M_N=68.1 \ kip-in/ft \\ Actual steel weight = 1.84 \ psf \end{array}$

For the double mat panel, the reduction in actual steel area using WWR is significant (17.5% less). Because the horizontal wires for WWR can be smaller in diameter and more closely spaced, the same (or better) crack control can be accomplished using less steel. A portion of these savings (10%) occurs simply due to the increase from 60 to 80 ksi steel.

PANEL #3: (Typical Dock Door Jamb Strip, Double Mat)

Panel Criteria:

Same as Panel #2, but jamb strip width = 2 feet, 3-inches

Rebar Option:

$$\begin{split} A_{S,VERT} &= 0.58 \text{ in}^2/\text{ft EF} (\text{use #6 @ 9 inches EF}) \\ A_{S,HORIZ} &= 0.174 \text{ in}^2/\text{ft} (\text{use #3 closed ties} \\ @ 6 \text{ inches}) \\ \text{Actual steel weight} &= 5.50 \text{ psf} \end{split}$$

WWR Option:

 $\begin{array}{l} A_{S,VERT} = 0.48 \ in^2/ft \ EF \ (use \ D16 \ @ \\ 4 \ inches \ EF) \\ A_{S,HORIZ} = 0.174 \ in^2/ft \ (use \ D5 \ @ \ 6 \ inches \ EF) \\ Actual \ steel \ weight = 3.94 \ psf \end{array}$

For jamb strips, the WWR sheet can be bent into a closed cage, including both the vertical reinforcing and horizontal ties.

ADVERTISEMENT-For Advertiser Information, visit <u>www.STRUCTUREmag.org</u>

into the form, rather than spending valuable hours field-tying them. Through the use of smaller-diameter wire "ties," bend radii can be minimized (wire = 2^*d_b vs. rebar = $4-6^*d_b$), permitting a double mat of reinforcing in a panel thickness that otherwise could be too congested. Using smaller wires can also provide better confinement, with less risk of segregation during concrete placement.

For illustration purposes, consider the following tilt-up panel designs, using ACI 318-11 section 14.8 slender wall provisions. For simplicity, a single load combination (D+W) is considered.

Conclusion

When properly designed, the use of WWR can improve the economy and performance of a tilt-up project. For engineers, specifying WWR can result in a more accurate reinforcement design with better confinement and control of shrinkage cracking. For contractors, the use of WWR can simplify installation and result in significant labor and schedule savings. Material savings for single mat panels are typically minor, but are more significant for double mat panels. Involvement of the tilt-up engineer is always critical to ensure that the WWR utilized is appropriate for slender wall design.•



26