Mid-Rise Wood-Frame Buildings
Safe, Cost Effective, and Sustainable
By Richard McLain, P.E., S.E.

There is a reason more developers and building designers are using wood-frame construction for large mid-rise projects. Designed to code, wood-frame buildings are safe, cost-effective, and sustainable, and increasing the use of wood in these projects can enhance their value proposition.

Podium buildings with up to six stories of wood construction over another construction style (typically concrete) are one of the best ways to add density while keeping costs in check (Figure 1). Podiums allow developers to maximize height and number of stories, while the wood-frame portion above is efficient and economical.

Safety is paramount, and wood buildings designed to code are just as safe as code-compliant buildings made from other materials. Building codes require all building systems to perform to the same rigorous standards for safety based on the construction type used, and wood systems can be designed to meet or exceed code requirements. Wood framing offers the added benefits of construction speed, design versatility, and a lighter carbon footprint.

Structural engineers are often called upon to help make early project decisions on material type selection, and many of the factors that go into these decisions go beyond what might be seen as the normal scope of an engineer. WoodWorks provides project support and education related to the design of wood buildings, and the purpose of this article is to help design and construction professionals make informed decisions about material use. It touches on key topics related to mid-rise wood design—such as fire, seismic and wind resistance, thermal impacts of structural materials, acoustics, and cost.

Sustainable and Durable Design
When specifying any building material, it is important to consider its life cycle environmental impacts. Wood is renewable, and wood products have less embodied energy, are responsible for less air and water pollution, and have a lighter carbon footprint than other commonly used materials. Wood can contribute to a building’s energy efficiency and is thought to have a positive impact on the health and well-being of occupants. The fact that it is durable and adaptable also creates opportunities for renovation, re-use, and recycling.

Durability is also an essential principle of sustainable design. However, despite many examples of wood buildings that have stood for centuries, wood has a perception issue when it comes to longevity.

A study by the Athena Sustainable Materials Institute looked at the longevity of buildings in Minneapolis and found that wood buildings were typically the oldest, the majority older than 75 years. In contrast, 80% of the steel buildings demolished were less than 50 years old (FPInnovations 2005).

Figure 1. 5-over-2 podium building in San Diego, CA. Courtesy of Brett Drury.

Code Acceptance: Achieving the Same Scale as Type II Buildings
Of the five construction types in the International Building Code (IBC), mid-rise wood-frame buildings generally fall under Types III and V. Each is further subdivided into A and B, which have different fire-resistance rating requirements (A being more rigorous) and allowable sizes. For Type III buildings, the IBC allows up to five stories of wood construction for residential occupancies and six for business, while Type V buildings have a maximum of four stories.

There are several options for using wood to more affordably achieve the scale of Type II buildings, which are generally steel or concrete. For multi-family occupancies, the allowable height, stories, and per-story area of Type IIIA construction are identical to Type IIA. Likewise, Type IIIB can be used to achieve the same height, stories, and area as Type IIB (Table 1).

Across the U.S., podium buildings have typically been 4-over-1 or 5-over-1, meaning they have four or five stories of wood over a single-level podium. In the 2015 and 2018 IBC, there is no limit on the number of podium stories, giving rise to more 5-over-2 buildings.

Cost and Construction Type
International Code Council (ICC) Building Valuation Data is an effective way to compare the average cost of buildings, which, as shown in Tables 2 through 4, varies widely by construction type. Table 3 highlights the difference between Type IIA, which is typically steel or concrete, and IIIA, which is typically wood-frame. As noted, both have the same allowable heights and areas, but the average Type IIIA building costs $20 per square foot less. In Table 4, Type IIB construction is compared to Type IIIB (again the same size) and shows an $18/square-foot savings for the wood building.

Factors that make wood-frame construction economical typically include lower material costs, construction speed, and wood’s relatively light weight (which can reduce the need for foundation capacity).
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levels of resiliency, resulting in lower damage
and higher occupant safety during and following
an earthquake or high wind event.
• When compared to normal load durations
e.g., live loading on a floor structure),
wood structures can resist 60% higher
wind and seismic loads as permitted by
a 1.6 load duration factor in the NDS.
• Wood buildings tend to be comprised of
repetitive framing attached with numerous
fasteners and connectors. This creates more
load paths and better redundancy, so there is
less chance the structure will collapse should
some connections fail.
• Wood-frame buildings are relatively light-
weight. Since seismic forces are proportional
to weight, this means lower seismic forces
which could result in further cost savings.

Further savings can be realized with off-site construction, which
ranges from prefabricated components and panelized assemblies to
full modular units.

Fire and Life Safety
Wood buildings are designed to meet the same level of fire performance
as buildings made from other materials. This is achieved by combining
various levels of fire resistance, fire class, and fire protection systems.
• Passive fire resistance is related to the degree of passive protection
provided to the structure itself. It is usually achieved with a gypsum
product, but fire endurance for exposed wood can also be shown
through calculations described in Chapter 16 of the American
Wood Council’s (AWC’s) National Design Specification (NDS) for
Wood Construction.
• Fire class is specific to the finishes of a building and addresses
criteria such as flame spread and smoke development potential.
• Active fire protection references the active fire protection systems
of a building, such as sprinklers and fire/smoke alarms.
Exterior walls must be fire-retardant-treated (FRT) wood or a noncom-
bustible material and require a 2-hour rating when load bearing to achieve
the larger size of a Type III building. Exterior walls in a Type VA building
may be framed with standard untreated lumber and require a 1-hour
fire-resistance rating when load bearing. In both types, wood can be used
for interior structural elements. Opportunities to increase allowable size
include firewalls, open perimeters, and automatic sprinkler systems.

Although less than 1% of building fires occur during construction,
special care must be taken to protect buildings before required fire protec-
tion elements – such as gypsum, fire doors, smoke alarms, and sprinklers
are in place. For best practices, visit
www.constructionfiresafety.org.
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Thermal Advantages
While many people know that wood building materials store carbon
and typically require less energy to manufacture than other building
materials, their advantages from an operational energy perspective
can be overlooked.

Thermal bridging is an essential consideration for the design of
building envelopes. It references the conduction of heat more readily
through structural/support members relative to the adjacent cavity
insulation. This, in turn, reduces the overall effective thermal per-
formance of the assembly under consideration. For exterior walls
and roofs, the choice of structural framing material has a significant
impact on the relative amount of thermal bridging. For example,
a 6-inch nominal wall with R-19 batt insulation has an effective
R-value of 16 when framed with 2x6 wood studs at 16 inches on
center (o.c.). In contrast, the same R-19 batt insulation would have
an effective R-value of 7.1 when the wall is framed with 6-inch
metal studs at 16 inches o.c.

While energy-efficiency requirements vary, many states adopt the ICC
code, which includes the International Energy Conservation
Code (IECC). One of the code-compliance paths in the IECC is
prescriptive, where walls, floors, and roofs have specific insulation
requirements based on framing type and climate zone.

continued on next page
The IECC recognizes wood's advantages from a thermal bridging perspective by requiring continuous insulation in metal-frame walls but not wood-frame walls. For example, in IECC 2015 Table C402.1.3, above-grade metal-frame walls in all climate zones are required to have R-13 cavity insulation and some amount of continuous insulation applied to one face of the wall (R-5 or R-7.5 depending on climate zone). However, in climates zones 1-5 (other than zone 5 group R), no continuous insulation is required for wood-frame walls; cavity insulation alone is adequate. The R-20 wood-frame wall is the only option available in the IECC prescriptive wall path using prescribed R values that do not require continuous insulation for above-grade walls.

**Occupant Comfort:**

**Exceeding Acoustic Minimums**

The acoustics of a multi-family/mixed-use wood structure can be designed to meet or exceed minimum requirements, depending on the expectations of the developer, buyer, and tenants.

The IBC specifies minimum Sound Transmission Class and Impact Isolation Class ratings of 50 for assemblies separating dwelling units, and there are many options for acoustically-tested assemblies that meet and surpass code minimums.

In multi-family buildings, unit separation walls must perform multiple objectives. In addition to acoustic performance, they must meet fire separation requirements and serve as part of the lateral-force-resisting system (shear walls). They are often called upon to support hung cabinets or other features and need to take up minimal space.

Because of these and other considerations, many designers use staggered wood unit separation walls. A common construction includes 2x6 wall bottom and top plates with 2x4 studs at 8 inches or 12 inches o.c., alternating from one side of the plates to the other. STC ratings of staggered wood walls in the range of 50-63 can be accomplished.

**Maximizing Value with Podium Designs**

Maximizing the size and area of a wood-frame building is a cost-effective way to achieve five or six stories of saleable or rentable space. Adding a podium increases the value of a project further with retail and parking. Concrete podiums are the most common, and the upper slab typically acts as both a fire separation and structural transfer slab for the framing above.

Podium-style buildings are a product of the horizontal building separation provision (IBC Section 510.2). Separated by a 3-hour fire-resistance-rated horizontal assembly, they are treated in the code as two separate structures, built one on top of the other, to determine area limitations, continuity of firewalls, the allowable number of stories, and type of construction.

For the podium to be considered a separate and distinct building, the podium and construction below must be of Type IA construction and equipped with an NFPA 13 sprinkler system. Occupancies above the podium are permitted to be A, B, M, R or S, while occupancies below are permitted to be any except H. The overall height of the two buildings is measured from grade plane and is limited by the provisions of IBC Chapter 5 (with increases) for the more restrictive of the two buildings.

Wood-frame exterior walls can be used within the podium level(s) (Figure 2) to improve energy efficiency and further reduce costs. Although Type IA construction (which typically requires all non-combustible framing) is required, there are several opportunities for the use of FRT wood wall framing in Type I and II buildings per IBC Section 603.1. One example is non-rated, non-bearing exterior walls, where using wood offers two advantages:

1) The building enclosure details utilized for the wood-frame building above the podium can also be used below the podium, and
2) The requirements for continuous insulation versus cavity insulation are the same above and below the podium. In contrast, using steel studs below the podium would require continuous insulation, likely causing the need to shift the wall framing into the building to accommodate a thicker thermal barrier.

When a building has an NFPA 13 sprinkler system throughout, only a 1-hour rating is required when separating enclosed parking areas from occupancies such as B, M, and R per IBC Table 508.4. This indicates that a mixed-use building consisting of an enclosed parking area and other occupancies could be entirely framed with wood if allowable building size calculations permit the use of Types III, IV or V construction under a separated occupancies approach. Even if other materials are used in the building (perhaps as lower walls or columns), there is value from a cost perspective in classifying the entire building as the least restrictive construction type as permitted by IBC Section 602.1.1.

**Conclusion**

Wood-frame construction is an excellent option for developers and designers looking to maximize the value of their mid-rise projects. Building codes require wood structures to meet the same level of safety and performance as structures made from other materials, and wood offers added advantages such as cost savings, construction speed, and sustainability.

The online version of this article contains references and a list of resources. Please visit www.STRUCTUREmag.org.

**Discussion about cold-formed steel framing on podiums will be included in an upcoming issue of STRUCTURE.**
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